

NGST Flight Investigations AO 01-OSS-05 Preproposal Conference

L'Enfant Plaza Hotel, Washington, DC

**Background, Investigation Classes,
Evaluation Criteria**

**November 30, 2001
Eric P. Smith**

Conference Information (1)

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- All information provided by NASA is openly available to everyone, even if not in attendance, via online presentations and minutes.
- Questions may be submitted in writing anonymously; regardless, questions are posted without attribution
- NASA reserves the right to defer the answers and questions asked during the conference for posting on the NGST AO Additional Information Homepage:
<http://centauri.larc.nasa.gov/ngst/>
- Answers to ALL written questions submitted during today's conference will be posted to above URL by December 21, 2001
- Answers to all questions received before January 4, 2002, along with any necessary changes to the AO will be posted to the above URL

Conference Information (2)

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- NASA does not reveal conference attendee list to the general public
- NASA will discuss all aspects of the proposal review and selection process, but as a matter of policy, does not reveal the names of its reviewers
- NPRS will be available to discuss electronic submittal;
dtripp@hq.nasa.gov
- Written questions are allowed until January 4, 2002 (POC: Eric P. Smith, Code SZ or Eric.Smith@hq.nasa.gov, or fax (202) 358-3096

What NASA is Soliciting?

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- **Four types of science investigations**
 - Those requiring the design, development, and delivery of a Near-IR Camera for the reference telescope
 - Those associated with participation in the development, integration, and test of the facility Mid-IR instrument
 - Those using the reference telescope and instruments and offering specific participation in key program elements
 - Those using the reference telescope and instruments

NGST Science Objectives

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- **Primary goal: advance the understanding of the formation of the first stars and galaxies**
- **Other goals outlined in AO section 2 and documented in AO Library documents;**
Visiting a Time When Galaxies Were Young
Scientific Objectives & Capabilities of NGST



NGST Investigation Classes

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

Proposal Category	Deliverables	SWG Member	NGST Program Interface	Approx. GTO time (hrs)	Expected PI effort (FTE)†	Number selected via this AO*
NIRCam Principal Investigator	Fully qualified flight hardware, calibration and commissioning plans, analysis tools, and documentation	Yes	ISIM Project	900	1.0	1
Facility Scientist	None	Yes	SWG	260	0.5	1
Telescope Scientist	None	Yes	Observatory Project	210	0.5	1
MIRI Science Lead	MIRI teaming plan, algorithms for instrument operation, calibration plans, commissioning plans, analysis tools, and documentation	Yes	ISIM Project	210	**	1
MIRI Science Team Member	None	No	MIRI Science Team	60	0.25	Up to 3
Interdisciplinary Scientist	None	Yes	SWG	110	0.25	4

Evaluation Criteria (5)

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- **NIRCam PI**
 - Science Merit & Relevance to Mission Objectives(40%)
 - Technical Merit & Prob. Of Success (30%)
 - Cost Risk and Feasibility of Implementation Plan (30%)
- **MIRI Team Lead**
 - Science Merit (40%)
 - Technical Merit (30%)
 - Suitability for Team Leader Position (30%)
- **MIRI Team Member**
 - Science Merit (50%)
 - Technical Merit (10%)
 - Suitability for Team Membership (40%)
- **Telescope and Facility Scientists**
 - Science Merit (40%)
 - Feasibility and Probability of Success of Science Investigation (25%)
 - Suitability for Position (35%)
- **Interdisciplinary Scientist**
 - Science Merit (60%)
 - Feasibility and Probability (40%)

Science Evaluation Process

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- **Science Evaluators are:**
 - Best (non-conflicted academic, civil servant, contractor, consultant and other Government personnel available to support the review;
 - Peers in the areas of expertise they evaluate
- **Science Findings are the Consensus of the entire Science Panel**
 - Every proposal is evaluated by multiple reviewers with a mixture of discipline expertise
 - All proposals and findings discussed by the entire Science Panel
 - Final ratings are agree to by the entire Science Panel

Important URLs

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.



AO Library (<http://www.ngst.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/doc?Id=871>)



AO Solicitation Page (http://research.hq.nasa.gov/code_s/open.cfm)



AO Acquisition Page (<http://centauri.larc.nasa.gov/ngst/>)



Backup charts

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

Criteria Definitions (1)

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- **Scientific Merit and Relevance to Mission Objectives**
 - What is the intrinsic merit of the proposed investigation?
 - How well does the investigation fill important gaps in knowledge and/or provide for fundamental progress in areas relevant to NGST?
 - The merit of the minimum science investigation with a descoped instrument will be evaluated (NIRCam only)

Criteria Definitions (2)

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- **Technical Merit and Probability of Success**
 - Can the proposed investigation approach (measurement objectives, data analysis, etc.) be expected to achieve the proposed scientific goals and objectives?
 - Does the science team have the appropriate expertise, experience and organizational structure to successfully complete the proposed investigation?
 - Does the proposed (or reference) instrumentation support the measurement objectives of the investigation (appropriate type of data with necessary resolution, dynamic range, sensitivity, SNR, etc.)
 - Will the volume of data returned be sufficient to complete the investigation?
 - Is the technical margin sufficient?
 - Resiliency: In the event of development problems, will the proposed descope plan permit “graceful degradation”?

Criteria Definitions (3)

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- **Cost Risk and Feasibility of Implementation Plan**
 - Is the cost estimate well founded, and is the approach to cost control sufficient?
 - Are the proposed risk levels and schedules (and associated margins) sufficient?
 - Are the proposed management plan and team capable of getting the job done on schedule and within cost?

Criteria Definitions (4)

QuickTime™ and a
GIF decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

- **Suitability for “X”**
 - proposed plans for specific SWG participation (MIRI Team Lead, TS, FS) will be evaluated for likelihood of success
 - Assessment of specific talents, experience and commitment proposers offers